

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 6231 MR1957-599 09/972,976 10/10/2001 Chieh-Feng Wu EXAMINER 4586 7590 04/09/2004 WILLS, MONIQUE M ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043 1746

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/972,976	WU, CHIEH-FENG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wills M Monique	1746
The MAILING DATE of this commercial for Reply	munication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provice after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximuter of the period for the period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimur um statutory period will apply and will expire SIX or reply will, by statute, cause the application to bee on this after the mailing date of this communication,	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
• •	2b) This action is non-final.	I matters, prosecution as to the merits is 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to result is are subject to result is a subject to resu	is/are withdrawn from consideratio	
Application Papers		
	er 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or be bjection to the drawing(s) be held in a ding the correction is required if the dr	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o  1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies	f: rity documents have been received rity documents have been received ies of the priority documents have ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviet  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144: Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office	w (PTO-948) Pap 9 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Noti 6) Othe	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040331

Art Unit: 1746

### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed January 14, 2004. The rejection of claims 1 & 3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ikeda et al., U.S. Patent 6,240,637, is overcome. The rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pavlov et al., U.S. Patent 6,509,118, in view of Ikeda et al., U.S. Patent 6,240,637, is overcome. The rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al., U.S. Patent 6,240,637in view of Meggiolan U.S. Pub. 2002/0094474, is overcome. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavanture U.S. Patent 6,521,371 in view of Whitley, II et al., U.S. Des. 321,856 and further in view of Pavlov et al., U.S. Patent 6,509,118 as evidentiary support. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavanture U.S. Patent 6,521,371 in view of Whitley, II et al., U.S. Des. 321,856, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Meggiolan U.S. Pub. 2002/0094474.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1746

4

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavanture U.S. Patent 6,521,371 in view of Whitley, II et al. U.S. Des. 321,856 and further in view of Pavlov et al. U.S. Patent 6,509,118 as evidentiary support.

Lavanture teaches an adaptable battery tray (abstract). With respect to claim 1, the battery tray comprises a stage 32 with a battery tank; the battery tank has a first pair of side walls 24 extending in the longitudinal direction and a second pair of sidewalls 22 extending in the lateral direction; the first pair of side walls 24 are substantially orthogonal to the second pair of side walls 22; a pair of clamping members 47 are projected orthogonally from the second pair of side walls 22; each clamping member has an aperture 58 formed there through; a pair of wing portions 61 are projected orthogonally from a respective one of said first pair of side walls 24; each wing portion has at least one through hole 71 formed there through; fixing means 108 secures stage 32 to upper shell 104 of the portable electronic product (battery); said fixing means 108 is received by at least one through hole of clamping members 47 (col. 4, lines 35-45). See Figures 2 & 3. With respect to claim 3, wing portion 61 has an indent 68 on one side of the through hole. See Figs. 4 & 5.

Lavanture is silent to the through hole, in the wing portion, receiving fixing means (claim1). The reference does not expressly disclose two electrode plates on the battery tank (claim 2).

Whitley teaches that it is conventional to employ fixing means through the aperture of a wing portion in a battery tray (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 1746

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the fixing means of Whitley in the apertures of the wings of Lavanture, to increase securing the battery to the storage tray.

With respect to claim 2, Lavanture teaches a battery tray for lead acid cells (col. 1, lines 5-10), but does not expressly disclose two electrode plates on the side walls of the battery tank. It would be reasonable to expect the battery of Lavanture to include multiple electrode plates, that would subsequently, be arranged on the walls of the battery tray, because lead acid batteries typically contain multiple electrode plates (See Pavlov Fig. 1). Therefore, irrespective of orientation, at least two electrode plates would be arranged on the side walls of the tray, when the battery is supported.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavanture U.S. Patent 6,521,371 in view of Whitley, II et al. U.S. Des. 321,856, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Meggiolan U.S. Pub. 2002/0094474.

Lavanture in view of Whitley teach a battery case as described hereinabove, in order to firmly secure a battery case in position.

Lavanture is silent to employing projection rings on the side of the through hole.

Meggiolan teaches that rings are conventional used around recesses for receiving axially locking structures to hold screws in place.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the rings of Meggiolan in the screw holes of Lavanture, in order to firmly hold the screws in place.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1746

 $\S$  706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, may be reached at 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mw

04/02/04

BRUCE F. BELL PR:MARY EXAMINER GROUP 1**146**